Ultrasonic molecular imaging is increasingly being explored for cancer imaging (1-7), including early detection (8), molecular profiling (9,10), and therapeutic monitoring at the molecular level (11-14). For ultrasonic molecular imaging, gas-containing contrast microbubbles are functionalized by attaching ligands onto the microbubble shells that specifically bind to certain molecular markers under consideration (15). Contrast microbubbles remain exclusively within the vascular compartment following intravenous administration since their size of several
micrometers prevents them from leaking into the extravascular space. Therefore, ultrasonic molecular imaging is particularly useful for imaging, monitoring and quantification of disease processes such as tumor angiogenesis that are characterized by molecular markers differentially expressed at the luminal site of endothelial cells of the tumor neovasculature (5,15).

After microbubble binding to the molecular target under consideration, in vivo ultrasonic molecular imaging signal is generated by a combination of backscattering owing to the difference of acoustic impedance of the microbubble gas compared to surrounding tissue and non-linear oscillations of microbubbles (16). At clinically used frequencies of several MHz, microbubbles resonate with non-linear oscillations which can be measured as harmonic or subharmonic frequencies of the imaging frequency, thereby enhancing signal to noise ratios from attached microbubbles compared to surrounding tissues that only show minimal non-linear properties beyond the second harmonic (15,17).

Most current preclinical ultrasonic molecular imaging protocols quantify molecularly-attached contrast microbubbles in vivo by using the traditional destruction/replenishment method (3,17): Several minutes following intravenous administration to allow binding of targeted microbubbles to the molecular target, a first ultrasound data set is acquired which reflects the sum of imaging signal from tissue signal, molecularly-attached microbubbles, and still freely circulating microbubbles. Following a high powered ultrasound pulse to destroy both attached and freely circulating microbubbles within the beam elevation of the ultrasound transducer, a second ultrasound data set is acquired at several seconds after the destruction pulse to allow freely circulating microbubbles to replenish into the imaging plane. The ultrasound imaging signal from microbubbles attached to the molecular target is then expressed as the difference of ultrasound imaging signal before and after the destruction pulse (18). While this approach is a robust technique and has been successfully used in preclinical in vivo ultrasound imaging with good correlation with ex vivo expression levels of molecular markers (9-14,19,20), it necessitates time-consuming post-processing, hampering the real-time work flow of ultrasound imaging. Additionally, ultrasound pressure necessary for microbubble destruction is not standardized for different microbubble types (21-23) and high powered destructive pulses for diagnostic purposes may cause unwarranted biological effects that are still not fully characterized (24,25). Therefore, a quasi real-time technique that allows automatic differentiation between imaging signal from attached versus freely circulating microbubbles without the need of a destructive pulse would be desirable. This will further facilitate clinical translation of ultrasonic molecular imaging and will allow integration of this technique into routine real-time ultrasound imaging protocols.

The purpose of our study was to develop and test a fast ultrasonic molecular imaging technique for quantification and monitoring of tumor angiogenesis during anti-angiogenic therapy and to compare this technique to the traditional destruction/replenishment method of ultrasonic molecular imaging signal quantification.

Material and methods

Human colon cancer xenograft model in mice

All experimental procedures using laboratory animals were approved by the Institutional Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care. Human LS174T colon adenocarcinoma cells (ATCC; Manassas, VA) were cultured in Minimum Essential Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum to 70-80% confluency. Three million cells were trypsinized, centrifuged, and resuspended in 50 µL of matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and then injected subcutaneously on the hindlimbs of 6-8 week old, female nude mice (n=20; Charles River, Wilmington, MA). Human colon cancer xenografts were allowed to grow for up to 7 days; tumor volumes were calculated using the formula for a prolate ellipsoid: $\frac{\pi}{6} \times length \times width \times height$, as measured by Brightness (B)-mode ultrasound.

Contrast microbubbles for contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging

Two types of contrast microbubbles were used: control non-targeted perfluorobutane-containing microbubbles and clinical grade perfluorobutane-containing, lipopeptide-shelled microbubbles targeted to the human kinase insert domain receptor (which cross-react with mouse vascular endothelial growth factor receptor type 2, VEGFR2; mean diameter of 1.5 µm (range, 1-3 µm); BR55, Bracco Suisse SA, Geneva Switzerland) (14,26). Both control and VEGFR2-targeted contrast microbubbles (5x10^7 microbubbles in 180 µL volume per injection) were administered intravenously in random order to all mice through a tail vein catheter (Micromarker kitTM; VisualSonics, Toronto, Canada) at a constant injection rate.
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This algorithm was designed to separate imaging signals from freely circulating and molecularly attached microbubbles in near real-time (Figure 1; see also Supplementary Material). Since ultrasonic imaging signals from circulating microbubbles are only transiently measurable whereas signals from attached microbubbles are stationary within a designated sample volume, the principle of the new algorithm was based on the measurement of the “dwell time” of the microbubble signal in the sample volume of the ultrasound transducer (which samples the resolution volume of about 0.15 \([x \times 0.2 \times 0.8 \text{ mm}^3] (0.024 \text{ mm}^3)\) near the transmit and geometric focus of the transducer). Measurements of the “dwell time” were accomplished first by recording the presence (encoded as “1”) or absence (encoded as “0”) of contrast imaging signal above system thermal noise (threshold set to 20% of full scale, or 50 out of 255 grey levels; for details see Supplementary Material) in the sample volume with each frame, and adding the values for the entire predefined acquisition time (set at 30 sec). Then, only imaging signals from microbubbles with a “dwell time” of \(\geq80\%\) of the predefined 30-sec acquisition time \((\geq24\text{ sec})\) were recorded as signal derived from attached microbubbles. The threshold of 24 sec was defined from preceding optimization experiments that demonstrated maximum signal from attached microbubbles at minimal signal from freely circulating microbubbles at a \(\geq80\%\) dwell time (see Supplementary Material).

With this new software algorithm, attached microbubble signal was quantified as percent contrast coverage area, which is the cross sectional area of detected contrast signal relating to the presence of targeted microbubbles within the ROI area (that is, the percentage of voxels with detected contrast signal divided by the total number of voxels within the ROI; see below). An advantage of this new approach is that the cross sectional coverage area is independent of factors which can affect the linearized signal quantified by the traditional approach (see below) such as the attenuation of the ultrasound signal over the imaging path length, which becomes critical when scaling from small animal experiments to humans with known variability in height and weight (as opposed to mice that have similar dimensions) and with different anatomical locations of pathologies compared to the transducer position. Furthermore, the measurement of the cross sectional coverage area is independent of the magnitude of the microbubble non-linear response which depends on the microbubble diameter (which usually varies within a few micrometers) and the resonance frequency of the microbubbles (which depends on the size of the microbubbles). This variability of the linearized signal requires some form of normalization which can be difficult and time consuming in a clinical setting.

**Intra-animal comparison between fast and traditional method of ultrasonic molecular imaging signal quantification**

In order to directly compare the new dwell-time based fast ultrasonic molecular imaging quantification approach (henceforth fast method; unit: percent contrast area) with imaging signal measurements using the traditional destruction/replenishment method (6,9,10,28) (henceforth...
traditional method; unit: linear arbitrary units), data sets using both methods were acquired in all animals during the same imaging session at 7 min after injection of either control or VEGFR2-targeted microbubbles (see Supplementary Material). Data sets using the fast method were collected during 30 sec as described above and saved in DICOM format to the memory of the ultrasound machine. Imaging data sets using the traditional method were collected as described (6,9,10,28). In brief, contrast mode images were acquired for 30 sec, followed by a 3-sec high intensity destruction pulse ( acoustic pressure, 5.0 MPa; mechanical index, 1.9) to destroy all microbubbles within the field of view and followed by a post-destruction 30 sec data acquisition. Ultrasonic molecular imaging signal acquired by both techniques was normalized to tumor vascularity measured as described (29,30) (Figure 2); normalization of tumor vascularity was accomplished by taking the near real-time signal (fast method; measured signal at 7 minutes post-injection of microbubbles minus the background signal (no microbubbles; collected first as in Figure 2) divided by the maximum intensity persistence (MIP) imaging signal (measured in linear arbitrary units) that was acquired during the bolus administration of microbubbles and which is a measure of tissue vascularity (29,30).

Figure 1 Schematic diagram shows principle of fast method for quantification of molecularly-attached contrast microbubbles on a clinical ultrasound system. A: The fast method algorithm adds the signal from stationary microbubbles (presence of stationary signal was encoded as 1; absence of signal was encoded as 0) in each imaging voxel over time (summed over all imaging frames); B: B-mode image of representative human colon cancer xenograft in mouse hindlimb outlined by region of interest (yellow line); C: Image obtained in same imaging plane as in (B) prior to injection of microbubbles shows background image; D: Image from the same plane obtained after VEGFR2-targeted contrast microbubbles injection shows imaging signal (blue) from molecularly attached microbubbles within the tumor region of interest.
To assess repeatability of in vivo imaging signal measurements using both methods, a second injection of VEGFR2-targeted MB (with 30 minute waiting period between injections for microbubble clearance as described above) was performed in a subgroup of 6 tumor-bearing mice and data acquisition was performed using both the near real-time and traditional methods as described above.

**Monitoring anti-angiogenic therapy**

Feasibility of the fast method for monitoring treatment effects following anti-angiogenic therapy was tested in an additional 14 tumor-bearing mice (Figure 3). Baseline (0 h) measurements of ultrasonic molecular imaging signal were obtained in all mice following VEGFR2-targeted
Figure 3 Schematic diagram summarizes experimental plan of longitudinal ultrasonic molecular imaging study for monitoring tumor angiogenesis. Following induction of human colon cancer xenografts in mice, a baseline (0 h) ultrasound imaging scan was performed in all mice. Mice were then randomly divided into 2 groups (mice undergoing daily anti-angiogenic vs. no (saline) treatment) and daily imaging was repeated until 72 h. All animals were then sacrificed and tumors were excised for *ex vivo* analysis of VEGFR2 expression levels on tumor vessels.

Ex vivo analysis of VEGFR2 expression

After the 72-hour ultrasound scan, mice were euthanized and human colon cancer xenografts were excised (*Figure 3*) and frozen in Optimum Cutting Temperature (Tissue-Tek; Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Tumor tissue sections (8 µm; mounted on glass microscope slides) were sliced from the center plane, in close proximity to the field of view used for US scanning. After brief fixation in cold acetone, tissue sections were then double-stained for both VEGFR2 (primary antibody: 1:100 of rabbit anti-mouse VEGFR2 antibody; Cell Signaling; Danvers, MA; secondary antibody: 1:300 of goat anti-rabbit Cy3-conjugated IgG; Jackson Immunoresearch; West Grove, PA) and for the endothelial cell marker CD31 (primary antibody: 1:100 of rat anti-mouse CD31 antibody; BD Pharmingen; San Diego, CA; secondary antibody: 1:300 of Dylight 488 (green)-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG; Jackson Immunoresearch; West Grove, PA). Tissue sections were incubated with primary antibody at 4 °C, and 18 hours later, they were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated with secondary antibodies for 30 minutes at room temperature. Fluorescent micrographs were obtained at the same exposure using a Zeiss AxioImager DIC/Fluorescence microscope (magnification: 100x) and Zeiss monochrome AxioCam CCD digital camera (Carl Zeiss Light Microscopy; Thornwood, NY).
Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The one-sample Wilcoxon rank and t-tests were used for paired comparisons. Repeatability of the two imaging methods was calculated using the interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) including 95% confidence intervals (CI), calculated using the bootstrap method. ICCs were defined as follows: an ICC of 0-0.20 indicated no agreement between two consecutive measurements; an ICC of 0.21-0.40, poor agreement; an ICC of 0.41-0.60, moderate agreement; an ICC of 0.61-0.80, good agreement; and an ICC greater than 0.80, excellent agreement (31). Correlation of contiguous sample measurements were assessed by calculating the Pearson correlation coefficient with the 95% CI calculations based on Fisher’s transformation. A mixed regression model was applied for comparing longitudinal measurements with and without anti-angiogenic therapy. All statistical analyses were computed with R.2.10.1 software (www.r-project.org), and a P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

In vivo ultrasonic molecular imaging measurements

Overall, there was excellent correlation between the fast and the traditional method in terms of in vivo ultrasonic molecular ultrasound imaging signal quantifications following administration of both non-targeted and VEGFR2-targeted microbubbles in human colon cancer xenografts ($R^2$=0.93; $P<0.001$; 95% CI: 0.91, 0.99). Both the fast ($P=0.002$) and the traditional method ($P=0.03$) showed significantly higher imaging signal following administration of VEGFR2-targeted microbubbles compared to control microbubbles, confirming binding specificity of molecularly-targeted microbubbles to VEGFR2 (Figure 4, Table 1).

Table 1 Ultrasonic molecular imaging signal intensities measured with the new fast and traditional methods following injection of VEGFR2-targeted and non-targeted contrast microbubbles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging signal with non-targeted MB (1st Injection)</th>
<th>Imaging signal with VEGFR2-targeted MB (2nd Injection)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fast method</td>
<td>Traditional method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.97±4.91</td>
<td>1.56±0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers are mean ± standard deviation; MB: microbubbles; Units: Fast method, percent contrast area; Traditional method, linearized arbitrary units.
Reproducibility of in vivo ultrasonic molecular imaging measurements

In the subgroup of tumor-bearing animals that underwent two subsequent VEGFR2-targeted microbubble injections 30 minutes apart, both the fast and the traditional method showed excellent reproducibility of ultrasonic molecular imaging signal measurements. For the fast method, the measured US signal in the tumors was 46.46±18.19% contrast area after the first contrast agent injection and was not significantly different (P=0.82) after the second injection (47.01±21.53% contrast area), indicating excellent agreement (ICC=0.87; 95% CI: 0.69, 0.99). Similarly, for the traditional method, the measured ultrasonic molecular imaging signal in the tumors was 4.04±1.29 a.u. after the first contrast agent injection and was not significantly different (P=0.69) after the second injection (4.08±1.58 a.u.), indicating excellent agreement (ICC=0.87; 95% CI: 0.53, 0.99) (Figure 5). Further analysis of the reproducibility of tumor vascularity measurements in the same tumor-bearing mice confirmed excellent reproducibility of tumor vascularity measurements without statistically significance differences (P=0.23) between repeated measurements (ICC=0.85; 95% CI: 0.71, 0.99).

In vivo monitoring of anti-angiogenic therapy in human colon cancer xenografts using fast method of ultrasonic molecular imaging signal quantification

At baseline, tumor volumes in treated (2,174±690 mm³) and non-treated (1,811±1,513 mm³) mice were not significantly different (P=0.31).

Following anti-angiogenic therapy, ultrasonic molecular imaging signal decreased by an average of 41±10% over all time points (P=0.05), while in non-treated animals imaging signal increased by an average of 54±8% (P=0.11). This was confirmed with ex vivo immunofluorescence that showed low level VEGFR2 expression on CD31-positive tumor vessels in treated tumors and high levels of VEGFR2 in non-treated mice (Figure 6).

Tumor volumes, in contrast, significantly increased in both treatment groups (P<0.001) compared to baseline measurements.

Figure 5 Transverse ultrasonic molecular images of human colon cancer xenograft scanned using both the fast and traditional method following VEGFR2-targeted microbubbles injections. Second set of images (right column) was acquired 30 min after first data set was acquired (left column). Note that distribution of molecular imaging signal obtained during consecutive imaging sessions is highly comparable using both methods indicating excellent reproducibility of both methods for quantification of ultrasonic molecular imaging signal. Also note that ultrasonic molecular imaging signal was measured slightly higher after second microbubble injection using both methods, likely due to incomplete clearance of targeted microbubbles from first injection.
with non-treated tumors growing at significantly faster rate (average increase of tumor volume within 72 hours: 121%; \( P=0.02 \)) compared to treated tumors (average increase of tumor volume within 72 hours: 36%; \( P=0.02 \)).

**Discussion**

Due to the lack of ionizing radiation, widespread availability of ultrasound in medical imaging, and high spatial and temporal resolution, the combination of ultrasound with molecular imaging capabilities has gained great scientific attention in recent years (1-3,6,15). Several preclinical studies have shown that ultrasonic molecular imaging allows highly sensitive detection of molecular markers over-expressed in cancer (8-10,19,26), better monitoring of cancer response to treatment compared to tumor size measurements using morphological-anatomical imaging (2,11-14), and molecular profiling of cancer (8-10) that may eventually help stratifying cancer patients based on biological properties of the tumors. However, to translate this promising imaging approach for improved patient care in the clinic, several technical developments are still needed (3,6,15). While recent research has shown progress towards the design and testing of novel clinical grade targeted ultrasound contrast agents as one of the major prerequisites for clinical translation (26,32), improved imaging data collection and quantification tools that facilitate the workflow of ultrasonic molecular imaging in a clinical environment are critically needed.

In this research, we explored a fast approach of ultrasonic molecular imaging data collection on a clinical ultrasound system to differentiate molecularly-attached contrast microbubbles from freely circulating microbubbles in a human colon cancer xenograft model in vivo. This new approach obviates the need for a separate destructive pulse and time-consuming post-processing, thereby facilitating the workflow of ultrasonic molecular imaging. In a direct intra-animal comparison in the same mice and during the same imaging sessions, we compared the fast quantitative ultrasonic molecular imaging approach with the traditional destruction/replenishment quantification approach as a reference standard that had been validated in previous studies (10,26,32). Our results showed excellent quantitative correlation between both techniques with significantly higher imaging signal after injection of VEGFR2-targeted microbubbles compared to negative control non-targeted microbubbles. Furthermore, our results suggest that the new fast imaging and quantification approach is highly reproducible with almost identical quantitative values obtained at imaging exams 30 minutes apart.

We also tested the potential of the new imaging approach for longitudinal quantitative monitoring of the molecular effects of anti-angiogenic therapy in human colon cancer...
xenografts. As early as 24 hours following anti-angiogenic therapy administration, the molecular imaging signal decreased by on average 41% while there was a substantial increase of the imaging signal in non-treated mice. Further ex vivo analysis of VEGFR2 expression levels confirmed decreased receptor levels in treated mice and increased levels in non-treated mice. Our in vivo imaging results are in line with findings of a recent study using the traditional destruction/replenishment approach that also showed substantially decreased ultrasonic molecular imaging signal in a mouse tumor model by on average 41% after 24 hours after anti-angiogenic therapy initiation (14). Overall, our results indicate that the fast ultrasonic molecular imaging approach allows in vivo assessment and monitoring of early anti-angiogenic treatment effects in cancer at the molecular level.

Other approaches to differentiate molecularly attached from freely circulating microbubbles for ultrasonic molecular imaging have recently been explored (16,33-35). Using an avidin-coated cellulose microtube phantom, it has been shown that microtube-attached biotin-containing microbubbles have different spectral characteristics compared to freely circulating microbubbles (16). For attached contrast microbubbles, the fundamental spectral intensity increased by up to 22 dB, the second harmonic component increased, and the difference between the fundamental intensity and the intensity of the 2nd and 3rd harmonic components increased for attached versus freely circulating microbubbles in those experiments (16). However, while this approach could be exploited for real-time differentiation of attached from freely circulating microbubbles (16), in vivo proof of this concept is still missing. Another principle to differentiate attached from free microbubbles using a low-pass filter (7-frame moving average filter) was tested in a biotin-containing gelatin vessel phantom using avidin-containing microbubbles and a dedicated small animal ultrasound system (33). Using subharmonic imaging to first separate background tissue from microbubble signal, this approach allowed separation of bound microbubble imaging signal from free microbubbles in vitro (33). The principle of low-pass filtering to separate bound from free microbubble signal was also shown in another in vitro phantom study using biotin-coated microbubbles targeted to avidin-coated cellulose tubes and a clinical ultrasound system equipped with a transducer that transmits at a low frequency and receives at a high frequency (35). However, both approaches have only been applied to in vitro phantom studies and in vivo confirmation is still needed (33,35). Furthermore, the avidin-biotin interactions between microbubbles and the tubing used for above-mentioned phantom studies represent one of the strongest chemical bonds not reflecting the binding kinetics of ligands with in vivo receptors. Also, the tubing size used for the phantom studies (200 µm) is bigger than the size reported for tumor capillaries [10-50 µm (36)] which may affect the flow dynamics and attachment properties of microbubbles. In our study, we explore an alternative approach to differentiate attached from freely circulating microbubbles based on a threshold-determined “dwell time” calculation. Our study brings previous studies on differentiation of attached from free microbubbles to several different levels: First, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the first in vivo assessment of a fast near real-time ultrasonic molecular imaging approach in an animal model of cancer. Second, we used a clinical grade VEGFR2-targeted contrast agent that models ligand receptor interactions expected to occur in human cancers and the technique was tested on a clinical ultrasound scanner to simulate a clinical imaging environment. Third, we performed a direct intra-animal comparison of the new approach with the traditional quantification approach for ultrasonic molecular imaging as a reference standard. Fourth, we further validated the new quantification approach for non-invasive in vivo monitoring of anti-angiogenic therapy in a clinically relevant mouse model of human colon cancer treated with the murine correlate of the clinically used anti-angiogenic agent bevacizumab. Finally, we further confirmed our new ultrasonic molecular imaging approach by immunofluorescence analysis of VEGFR2 expression on tumor vascular endothelial cells.

This new quantification technique may help translate ultrasonic molecular imaging into the clinic by enabling rapid visualization and quantification of relative expression levels of molecular imaging targets in vivo. However, we acknowledge the following limitations. First, the new imaging approach was tested for the limited field-of-view of a currently clinically available two-dimensional (2D) ultrasound transducer. Further developments are needed to move from 2D to three-dimensional (3D) quantification using phased array 3D ultrasound transducers to measure the spatial distribution of molecular marker expressions and the overall expression levels within the full extent of the tumors. Second, this imaging approach can only detect imaging signal from attached microbubbles which is above the front-end thermal system noise floor. Furthermore, once an imaging signal from attached microbubbles is registered above the system noise floor.
threshold, the software cannot determine the number of microbubbles contained within each sample volume (i.e., if the average number of attached microbubble exceeds one microbubble per voxel, the molecular receptor density will be underestimated by a factor equal to the receptor density). However, at a spatial resolution of 0.024 mm$^3$ with the transducer used in our study and given the observation using intravital microscopy that only small amounts of targeted microbubbles are actually retained in vivo, on the order of 10 microbubbles per mm$^3$ (37,38), the predicted average number of attached microbubbles is on the order of one or fewer per voxel in our study. In fact, at densities of on average one or fewer attached microbubbles per voxel, there is a linear relationship between the measured cross sectional area and the number of attached microbubbles which would allow absolute estimations of the molecular receptor density in tissues. This likely explains the excellent correlation ($R^2=0.93$) between the percent contrast coverage area measured with the fast method and the linearized signal measured with the traditional method in our study. However, if binding efficiency of targeted microbubbles can be further improved in the future with increased expected densities of attached microbubbles beyond one microbubble per voxel [for example by combining different strategies such as acoustic radiation force techniques (39) or using microbubbles with increased binding affinity (19,40)], the proposed fast quantification approach may only provide semiquantitative information (though, which will still be helpful to assess relative changes of imaging signal for example during treatment or to perform ultrasonic molecular imaging guided biopsies) (41).

In conclusion, our results suggest that the new ultrasonic molecular imaging approach implemented on a clinical ultrasound system allows accurate and reproducible near real-time quantification and monitoring of VEGFR2 expression levels in human colon cancer xenografts in mice during anti-VEGF therapy. Along with next generation clinical grade molecularly targeted contrast agents as used in this study, the improved work-flow afforded by the new quantification approach may facilitate clinical translation of ultrasonic molecular imaging.
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Supplementary methods

Optimization of contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging settings

The contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging parameters were adjusted to maximize the signal intensity from attached microbubbles and to minimize imaging signal from system noise (Figure S1). This was accomplished by testing different threshold levels and measuring the imaging signal within the tumor region of interest (ROI). At a gain of -10 the system noise was just barely visible in the CPS contrast imaging mode and was used for subsequent experiments. At a threshold setting of 50 (20% of full scale), system noise was nearly completely rejected while signals from microbubbles are mostly retained. All ultrasound imaging parameters and settings are summarized in Table S1. In all animals, tissue equalization (TEQ) depth-gain levels were aligned to the same levels according to the line displayed (Figure S1; TEQ, red arrow).

Optimization of the waiting time for targeted microbubble attachment

The optimal time to wait before acquiring attached microbubble signal was evaluated by analyzing the wash-out rates of non-targeted and targeted microbubbles in an additional n=5 LS174T tumor-bearing mice. Time-intensity curves in real-time linear intensity CPS mode were collected for 10 minutes during the intravenous injection [via tail vein catheter using an infusion pump (Genie Plus; Kent Scientific, Torrington, CT) set at a constant rate of 1.2 mL/min] of 5×10^7 non-targeted, control microbubbles and VEGFR2-targeted microbubbles. A waiting period of at least 30 minutes under continuous destruction (mechanical index, 1.9) was used to clear microbubbles in circulation between injection of the two microbubble types (control and VEGFR2-targeted), and background levels were collected to ensure that microbubbles were cleared. Time intensity curve data sets were exported to and graphed in Microsoft Excel and the time at which the curves for VEGFR2-targeted and control microbubbles separated in parallel was recorded as the optimal time for microbubbles to attach while background signal from freely circulating microbubbles is minimal. This average time was 6.6±0.6 minutes (range, 5.8-7.4 minutes); therefore, a waiting time of 7 minutes to allow microbubbles to attach was chosen for subsequent experiments.

Optimization of dwell time

The optimal dwell time (defined as a percentage of the set acquisition time of 30 seconds) was evaluated in an additional n=4 LS174T tumor-bearing mice using the near real-time software. After background signal (no MBs) was collected, VEGFR2-targeted microbubbles were injected intravenously [as described in manuscript (Figure 2)], and ultrasound molecular imaging signal was collected 7 minutes post-injection with the fast method and background subtraction. Various dwell times (percentages) were tested: 10%, 20%, 35%, 50%, 65%, and 80%; with a 30 second acquisition time, this corresponded to dwell times of 3, 6, 10.5, 15, 19.5, and 24 seconds. Percent contrast area of attached VEGFR2-targeted microbubbles was calculated as signal acquired at 7 min post-injection minus background signal (no MBs), and compared relatively to other dwell percentages by normalizing to dwell percentage of 10% (by dividing the calculated result of each signal (fast method signal post-injection of microbubbles minus the background signal (no microbubbles)), by the signal obtained at dwell percentages of 10%; Supplementary Figure S2). Minimal differences were observed in the VEGFR2-targeted microbubble signal obtained with dwell times ranging between 50% and 80%. For subsequent experiments a dwell time of 80% of the 30-sec acquisition time (24 sec) was used.
Table S1 Contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging parameters and settings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Setting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Center Frequency</td>
<td>10.5 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Index</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Range</td>
<td>80 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold Signal Intensity (noise floor reject)</td>
<td>50 (Scale 0 (black) → 255 (white))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwell Time</td>
<td>80% (Percent of total Acquisition Time)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition Time</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiting Time for Targeted Microbubbles to Attach</td>
<td>7 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dB, decibels; MHz, mega Hertz. User-defined dwell time was designated as a percentage of the total time. In this study, it was set to 80%. For the set acquisition time of 30 seconds, this means that signal that was recorded for 24 seconds (80% of 30 seconds) was counted as targeted contrast signal from attached microbubbles.

Figure S1 Display screen of ultrasound machine used in this study showing near real-time software image and B-mode anatomical image side by side. Ultrasound imaging was motion compensated in real-time using a tracking box (green dashed line; green arrows), that tracked pixel displacements in B-mode, and applied displacements to both B-mode and contrast (near real-time software) images (27). Settings (threshold, 50; dwell percentage, 80%; gain, -10; depth-dependent tissue equalization (TEQ) depth-gain levels) were determined after alignment of the subcutaneous tumor in the field of view, with focus set at the level of the tumor. TEQ levels were automatically determined for the first tumor, and the same levels were applied to all tumors. The gain (-10) was adjusted to maximize the brightness just above the system noise (note: appearance of minor levels at top of display); at this setting, tissue noise is also present and accounted for by background subtraction. Note that there is very little to no signal (or tissue noise) within the tumor in this example. ROIs (yellow lines) were drawn around the tumor for instantaneous quantification displayed on the graph and numerically in green box (red arrow between graph and green box).
Figure S2 Assessment of optimal dwell percentage was performed by comparing the VEGFR2-targeted microbubble signal in subcutaneous human colon cancer xenografts using dwell percentages of 10%, 20%, 35%, 50%, 65%, and 80%. Values of microbubble signal was divided by the microbubble signal at dwell percentage =10% to visualize the relative change between values. Note minimal differences between dwell percentages of 50%, 65%, and 80%